

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,335	10/22/2003		Raymond Hawn	32953-DIV	9122	
	7590 - 04/06/2005			EXAMINER		
THOMAS E	B. LUEB	BERING	PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR			
HOVEY WIL	LIAM L	LLP				
Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2405 Grand			3643			
Kansas City,	MO 64	108	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/692,33		HAWN ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Thomas F	Price	3643						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>12-22-2004</u> .								
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.								
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
200 the attached detailed control about to a life of the defined depice flot received.										
Attachment(s)										
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	M8\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/		5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PT	O-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Optimum Growth Rates for Holstein Replacement Heifers.

Optimum Growth teaches selecting the largest or heaviest calves to be placed on a development program, in that, these are more likely to reach puberty sooner, and thus gain weight faster. This selection process is considered to be an initiation standard. These calves are placed on a development program in which nutrition becomes the focus. A target weight tool is used in which their average weights are considered. Optimum Growth further teaches the average pounds per day of feed in order to achieve the time growth targets. Each animal's growth is recorded. However, Optimum Growth does not mention culling animals which do not meet the growth requirements. Regarding claim 9, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove an animal from the development program that does not meet the growth standards in order to maximize the potential profit of the remaining animals. As for claim 11, the specific birth weight chosen would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made depending on how selective a herdsman desired and the type of animal being used.

Art Unit: 3643

The term animal broadly reads on a cow or whale. As for claims 12-16, Optimum Growth demonstrates various target weights at selected time periods. Further, the specific weight at selected weeks is believed to be dependent on the type of animal being raised, and is thus deemed to be obvious. Regarding claim 17, Optimum Growth does not specific teach a growth rate of two and half pounds per day, however, this is a parameter which is dependent on the type of animal being raised and as such is not deemed to patentably distinguish over Optimum Growth, and is considered to be obvious. In regards to claims 18 and 19, feeding the animals broadly reads on implementing a growth protocol, which is what Optimum Growth does. Regarding claim 20, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to record vaccinations given to the animals in order to provide a control parameter of the herd population being studied.

## Conclusion

Summary: Claims 9-20 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 703-308-2694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30a.m. to 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,335 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

rtp